CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

	i			/					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Anthony Giamoni 104 Bullman Street Phillipsburg NJ 08865				DEFENDANTS Richard Seeds Fred Schoenenberger					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Warren County New Jersey (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Philip D. Lauer, Esquire Lauer & Fulmer, P.C. 701 Washington Street Easton PA 18042 610.258.5329				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box (Only)	III. CIT	IZENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL	PARTIES (Pla	ice an "X" in One Box fo	r Plaintiff	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only)	F DEF	Incorporated or Pri	and One Box for Defe PTF incipal Place	endant) DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of			zen of Another State		Incorporated and P of Business In A	Another State		
			1	zen or Subject of a preign Country	3 🔲 3	Foreign Nation	<u>. </u>	6 🗆6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Oi	• •		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	Lance of Street a	KRUPTCY	OTHER STAT	name	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 310 Airplane 365 Personal Inj Product Lia 337 Health Care 367 Health Care 368 Health Care 368 Asbestos Personal Inj Per		ry - illity 6 al ry illity sonal ct PERTY 7	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act	423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copy 830 Pater 840 Trade SOCIAL 861 HIA 862 Black	28 USC 157		Reapportionment ust and Banking nerce tation teer Influenced and of Organizations mer Credit // Sat TV ities/Commodities/ ange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	☐ 371 Truth in Lenc ☐ 380 Other Person: Property Dan ☐ 385 Property Dan Product Liabi	al 7 nage 7 nage 7	20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	864 SSID Title XVI		891 Agricultural A 893 Environmenta 895 Freedom of In Act 896 Arbitration	896 Arbitration	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ CIVIL RIGHTS ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	PRISONER PETTI Habeas Corpus:	ee	91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act			■ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ■ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other ☐ 448 Education	□ 535 Death Penalty Other: □ 540 Mandamus & □ 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condi □ 560 Civil Detaine Conditions of Confinement	tion ee - f	IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions					
	moved from	nanded from ellate Court	Red	opened Anot (spec		Litigatio			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statu 42 U.S.C.s 1983 Brief description of caus Federal civil rights viol	se:		Do not cite jurisdictional statu	ıtes unless div	versity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER RULE 23,		ON I	DEMAND \$ >150,000		CHECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in comp ⊠ Yes □		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE 01/22/2014		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY O	FRECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IF	P	JUDGE		MAG. JUI	DGE		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Anthony Giononi

v.		:				
Richard Souls,	etal.	: :	NO.			
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for blaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.						
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CA	SE MANAGEME	NT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28	U.S.C. § 2241 thro	ough § 2255.	()	
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()						
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()						
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)						
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do no	t fall into any one o	of the other tracks.	()	
1/22/14 Date	Attorney	law -	Philip O. Lover, L Attorney for Plainti	25 g	K.	
610.258.5329	610.25	8.0155	philelaverlow, no	1	_	
Telephone	FAX Num	ber	E-Mail Address			
(Civ. 660) 10/02						

Case 5:14-cv-00547-JLS Document 1 Filed 01/22/14 Page 3 of 19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 104 Bollow Street Phillips	sters NJ 08865
Address of Plaintiff: 10 4 B. 1/n. Street Philips Address of Defendant: 3 432 Spear Street Beth Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1/14 Street Fusture (Use Reverse Side For Accident)	leben PA 18020
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: // 14 Street Faston	P.A.
(Use Reverse Side For Ac	dditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation are	/
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No 🗗
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No 🗹
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Judge	Date Tettimated.
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	· · ·
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior st	Yes No
action in this court?	an pending of within one year previously terminated
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier m	Yes No P
terminated action in this court?	Yes No
William Country and Country	1.00
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	s case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No ②
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases	
(Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTI	
I, Philip D. Lovers counsel of record do hereby certifications.	y:
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b	pelief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}}}}}}}}}$	77
DATE: 1/22//9	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if then	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or v	within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	mann one year previously terminated action in this court
DATE: 1/22/14	07935
Attorney at-Lay	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANTHONY GIAMONI : 104 Bullman Street : Phillipsburg NJ 08865 :

Plaintiff, : No.

:

V. :

RICHARD SEEDS,

Individually, and in his official capacity as:
a Pennsylvania Constable,
3432 Spear Street
Bethlehem PA 18020:

:

and,

:

FRED SCHOENENBERGER,

Individually, and in his official capacity as:
a Pennsylvania Constable,
110 N. 18th Street:

Easton PA 18042

Defendants.

Jury Trial Demanded

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Anthony Giamoni, by and through his attorneys, Philip D. Lauer, Esquire, LAUER & FULMER, P.C., and by way of Complaint against the Defendants named herein, does aver as follows:

I. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

1. This action is instituted under the United States Constitution, particularly under the provisions of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments, and under federal law, particularly the Civil Rights Act of 1871 hereinafter referred to

- as the "Act", as amended, 42 U.S.C. §1983, et seq.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, §1343 and §1367, regarding the principles of pendent and supplemental jurisdiction over related state law claims.
- 3. Venue in the Eastern District is properly laid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391, in so far as the alleged unlawful conduct complained of in this Complaint, which forms the factual and legal basis of the Plaintiff's claims, arose within the geographical limits of this District in general and, within the geographical limits of the City of Easton, Northampton County, Pennsylvania, in particular.

II. THE PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff Anthony Giamoni, (hereinafter "Anthony") is an adult individual,

 with a permanent residence of 104 Bullman Street, Phillipsburg, New Jersey.

 Plaintiff Giamoni is presently incarcerated at the SCI Graterford, pending classification, as a result of matters unrelated to the instant action.
- 5. Defendant Richard Seeds (hereinafter "Seeds") is an adult individual with a permanent residence at 3432 Spear Street, Bethlehem Township, Northampton County, Pennsylvania 18020. At all times relevant hereto, Seeds was serving in his capacity as a duly elected Pennsylvania Constable and performing the duties of his office in and about Northampton and

- Lehigh counties.
- 6. Defendant Fred Schoenenberger (hereinafter "Schoenenberger") is an adult individual with a permanent residence at 110 N. 18th Street, Wilson Borough, Northampton County, Pennsylvania 18042. At all times relevant hereto, Schoenenberger was serving in his capacity as a duly elected Pennsylvania Constable and performing the duties of his office in and about Northampton and Lehigh counties.
- 7. Defendants Seeds and Schoenenberger were entrusted to protect the Constitutional and legal rights of those entrusted to their custody and control, including Anthony, and at all times relevant hereto, were acting under the authority and color of law, and acted in concert with the other individual Defendant in the performance or conduct of their actions, or acted independently.

III. FACTS (Pre-Discovery)

- 8. On or about January 23, 2012 officers of the Easton Police Department ("EPD") and, Anthony Allstop, a state Parole Agent were searching for a person in violation of parole at a residence on South 11th Street in the City of Easton, Pennsylvania.
- 9. While lawfully attending to their duties the said officers encountered Defendant Constables Seeds and Schoenenberger. The Defendants were in

- search of different individuals.
- 10. After knocking on the door of the residence and receiving no reply, Defendant Seeds, without lawful authority or authorization from the EPD, violently kicked in the front door of the residential building.
- 11. Inside the residential building EPD officers encountered Anthony. The officers determined that Anthony was wanted for a technical parole violation.
- 12. The officers placed Anthony under arrest.
- 13. Anthony was handcuffed and seated on a step. Anthony subsequently stood up while in handcuffs and asked why he was being arrested.
- 14. An EPD officer, believed to be Russell Demko, proceeded toward Anthony in what is believed to be an attempt to have him sit back down.
- 15. While attempting to perform his lawful duties, the EPD officer was "commanded" to stop by Defendant Seeds. Seeds yelled "Taser! Clear!"
- 16. Defendant Seeds had no lawful authority to supervise or command the actions of EPD officers.
- 17. Seeds yelled at Anthony "I'm going to teach you a lesson in life."
- 18. Seeds then maliciously, viciously, and outrageously aimed and fired his Taser weapon at Anthony.
- 19. The weapon struck Anthony sending tens of thousands of volts of electricity

- though Anthony's defenseless, handcuffed body.
- 20. Seeds lacked any legal authority for the application of the Taser weapon, or any force whatsoever.
- 21. There was no legitimate or lawful reason for the application of the Taser.
- 22. As a result of the unlawful actions and omissions of the Defendants,

 Anthony was forced to seek treatment for his injuries at Easton Hospital.
- 23. Seeds used his Taser weapon solely to inflict pain and suffering upon Anthony, without any justification whatsoever.
- 24. Nationally established Taser training protocols forbid the use of a Taser weapon in the manner employed by Seeds.
- 25. The actions of the Defendants violate the generally accepted standards for conduct of Pennsylvania Constables as memorialized in the Code of Conduct published by the Pennsylvania State Constables Association.
- 26. During the entire course of events on the day in question at the residence on South 11th Street, Defendant Seeds was accompanied by Defendant Constable Schoenenberger who lent his assistance to Seeds and did not take any action to halt Seeds' unlawful conduct.
- 27. The EPD officers present believed that the use of the Taser by Defendant Seeds was inappropriate and not in conformance with generally accepted law enforcement standards.

- 28. Upon information and belief, the EPD officers present were shocked and outraged by the unlawful interference and outrageous conduct of Defendant Seeds.
- 29. Subsequent to the incident the Defendant Constables posted cell phone pictures and comments about their unlawful Tasering of Anthony on their social media sites and other internet sites.
- 30. Seeds referred to himself as a "turdologist an expert at turd removal." He also boasted of his unlawful activities that "the old man still got it."
- 31. Defendant Schoenenberger bragged of his unlawful activities: "One turd Tased and arrested."
- 32. EPD Chief Carl Scalzo reacted to the outrageous conduct of Defendant Seeds and Schoenenberger by formally conveying his concern to Northampton County Court of Common Pleas President Judge F.P. Kimberly McFadden.
- 33. President Judge McFadden requested that Northampton County District Attorney John Morganelli commence a criminal investigation into the matter.
- 34. President Judge McFadden also entered an Order directing the County's courts and domestic relations division to not utilize either of the Defendant constables for any County business whatsoever.

- Defendants and determined that, although it was a "close call" his office would not pursue criminal charges against either Defenandant.
- 36. D.A. Morganelli did determine that (1) the Defendants had absolutely no lawful authority to meddle in the police actions involving law enforcement officers and Anthony; and (2) the Defendants had no lawful authority to even be present in the residence in question at the time of their unlawful assault of Anthony.
- 37. D.A. Morganelli determined that the actions of the Defendants, described above, demonstrated "a total lack of professionalism" and a blatant disregard of the criminal justice system.
- 38. In response to the aforesaid events, D.A. Morganelli called upon the Pennsylvania legislature to review the constable system and take measures to assure proper oversight of constables.
- 39. As independently elected officials, Pennsylvania Constables are not supervised by any other governmental entity. They are free to establish whatever policies and or procedures they wish to employ in the performance of their duties. Their decisions with respect to policy and procedure are final and unreviewable.
- 40. After D.A. Morganelli declined criminal prosecution of the Defendants,

- President Judge McFadden did not immediately vacate her Order.
- 41. Subsequently, Northampton County Court Administrator James Onembo opined that the President Judge lacked any authority in law to permanently bar the Defendants from doing any County business.
- 42. Later, on May 31, 2012, President Judge McFadden entered an Order determining, *inter alia*, that "the Court is constrained to permit the reinstatement of the above named as constables to the Magisterial District Judges and the Domestic Relations Divisions." Her Order noted that any assignments to the two Defendants would be solely at the discretion of the courts or departments involved.
- 43. Defendant Seeds has a decade long history of violating the Constitutional rights of those he encounters, including three significant civil suits which resulted in settlements in this District. One which was settled for \$320,000, Involved Seeds shooting several dogs at a residence where he was serving a traffic citation.
- 44. Both Defendants have a reputation in Northampton and Lehigh counties for intemperate behavior and violations of the well established legal rights of those whom they encounter.
- 45. Plaintiff believes, and thus avers, that some judicial officers refuse to utilize the services of the Defendants because of their well known reputation for

such unlawful conduct.

- 46. At all times during the events described above, the Defendants were engaged in a joint venture. The Defendants assisted each other in performing the various actions described, and lent their physical presence, support, and/or the authority of their office to each other during the said events.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of the said acts or omissions of the Defendants, Plaintiff suffered, *inter alia*, the following injuries and damages:
 - a) physical and mental pain and suffering, in both the past and the predictable future, including damages for physical pain, discomfort, ill health, and emotional injuries including stigma, humiliation, fright and emotional trauma;
 - b) medical expenses;
 - c) loss of life's pleasures;
 - d) general damages for violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights under the First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution; and
 - e) punitive damages against the Defendants in their individual capacities which are justified factually and legally because Defendants acted maliciously and/or wantonly in violating the Plaintiff's

constitutionally and statutorily protected rights, and did so intentionally, recklessly and willfully while engaging in reprehensible and outrageous conduct not to be tolerated in a civilized society.

48. The actions of the Defendants violated the clearly established and well-settled federal Constitutional rights of the Plaintiff as more clearly set forth in the Counts below. It was not reasonable for either of the Defendants to believe that their actions or omissions did not violate Plaintiff's rights.

COUNT I Section 1983 - Constitutional Violations Against Both Defendants

- 49. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 50. The conduct of each Defendant was willful, reckless, grossly negligent and deliberately indifferent to the bodily integrity and the physical and psychic safety of Anthony.
- 51. This conduct constitutes a violation of the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, more specifically Anthony's rights to be free from the use of excessive force, his freedom of bodily integrity, freedom of speech, and to petition the government.
- 52. As a direct and proximate result, Anthony was injured as stated more fully herein.

COUNT II

Section 1983 - Failure to Intervene Against Defendant Schoenenberger

- 53. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 54. Defendant Schoenenberger is liable for failing to intervene to prevent the constitutional violations of Anthony's federally protected rights, as claimed herein, by Defendant Seeds.
- 55. Anthony's Constitutional rights were violated as alleged herein.
- 56. Under circumstances where a named Defendant was not the violator of a given right as alleged, he had the duty to intervene, including the duty to intervene to prevent the Constitutional violations more fully described herein.
- 57. Defendant Schoenenberger had a reasonable opportunity to intervene.
- 58. Defendant Schoenenberger failed to intervene.
- 59. As a direct and proximate result of the failure of Defendant Schoenenberger to intervene, Anthony suffered damages as more fully described herein above.

COUNT III Section 1983 - Civil Conspiracy Against Both Defendants

60. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though

- fully set forth.
- Both Defendants conspired to engage in the conduct alleged herein above, whereby each Defendant acted in concert, pursuant to an agreement, to cause the stated harms or in some way facilitated the conspiratorial objective of inflicting the resulting harms upon Anthony by their own acts or omissions or by those of fellow co-conspirators.
- 62. As a result of the aforesaid conspiracy engaged in by Defendants, Anthony suffered the damages as more fully described herein.

COUNT IV Assault Against Both Defendants

- 63. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 64. The actions of the Defendants, as more fully described herein, placed Anthony in reasonable and immediate fear of harmful or offensive physical contact from the Defendant.
- 65. The said contact was not privileged, nor was it consented to by Anthony.
- 66. As a direct and proximate result, Anthony was injured as stated more fully herein.

COUNT V Battery Against Defendant Seeds

- 67. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 68. Defendant Seeds physically contacted Anthony in an extremely harmful and offensive manner.
- 69. The said contact was not privileged, nor was it consented to by Anthony.
- 70. As a direct and proximate result, Anthony was injured as stated more fully herein.

COUNT VI Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Against Both Defendants

- 71. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 72. The aforesaid extreme and outrageous conduct, and acts of the Defendants resulted in the infliction of emotional distress, psychological trauma, and psychic pain and suffering upon Anthony and instilled in her mind an immediate and permanent sense of fear and trepidation, and said conduct, acts or omissions surpass all bounds of decency universally recognized in a civilized society.
- 73. As a direct and proximate result and consequence of the aforesaid conduct,

and acts of the Defendants, which constitutes intentional infliction of emotional distress, Anthony has suffered, is continuing to suffer, and will suffer into the future, the following:

- a. emotional and psychological distress and trauma;
- b. mental anguish;
- c. psychic pain and suffering;
- d. severe fright, horror, and grief;
- e. shame, humiliation, and embarrassment;
- f. severe anger, chagrin, disappointment and worry; and
- g. conduct which justifies punitive damages because of the outlandish and outrageous conduct, actions and omissions of one or more of the Defendants.

COUNT VII Civil Conspiracy Against Both Defendants

- 74. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 75. Both Defendants conspired to engage in the tortuous state claims alleged hereinbefore whereby each Defendant acted in concert, pursuant to an agreement, to cause the stated harms or in some way facilitated the conspiratorial objective of inflicting the resulting harms upon Anthony by

- their own acts or omissions or by those of fellow Defendant.
- 76. As a result of the aforesaid conspiracy engaged in by the Defendants,

 Anthony suffered the damages as aforesaid.

OTHER

- 77. Plaintiff respectfully requests a jury of eight (8) jurors to deliberate upon the within cause of action.
- 78. Where permitted by law, the Plaintiff demands reasonable attorney's fees, costs, interest, expenses, delay damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages and any other damages deemed appropriate by the Court.
- 79. Plaintiff requests that this Honorable Court issue declaratory and injunctive relief, as appropriate, declaring the within described practices to be unlawful, and enjoining their present and continued effects.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court, for each Count alleged:

a. Award compensatory damages to Plaintiff against the Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount in excess of One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) exclusive of interest and costs;

- b. Award punitive damages to Plaintiff against the Defendants in their individual capacities, jointly and severally;
- c. Award delay damages;
- d. Award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to the Plaintiff; and
- e. Award such other and further relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

Respectfully submitted, LAUER & FULMER, P.C.

Date: January 22, 2014

Philip D. Cauer, Esquire

I.D. # PA 07935

701 Washington Street

Easton PA 18042

610.258.5329

Fax: 610.258.0155

phil@lauerlaw.net

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Of counsel:

Joseph E. Welsh, Esquire I.D. # IL 3128295 LAUER & FULMER, P.C. joe@lauerlaw.net